CME signal & background study of 39 GeV Au+Au collisions and 200 GeV Cu+Cu collisions

Fufang Wen University of California, Los Angeles STAR Analysis Meeting LBNL 11/11 2016

content

CME via event-shape engineering signal & background of 200GeV Cu+Cu & 39GeV Au+Au collisions

κ comparison

CME via event-shape engineering

 $\frac{dN}{d\phi} \propto 1 + 2v_{1,\alpha} \cos(\Delta \phi) + 2v_{2,\alpha} \cos(2\Delta \phi) + 2a_{1,\alpha} \sin(\Delta \phi) + \dots$ where $\Delta \phi = \phi - \Psi_{RP}$,

 α (+ or -) denotes the charge sign of particle v₂: elliptic flow

 a_1 : quantifies the charge separation due to CME

$$\gamma = \left\{ \left\langle \cos(\phi_{\alpha} + \phi_{\beta} - 2\Psi_{RP}) \right\rangle_{P} \right\}_{E}$$
$$= \left[\left\langle v_{1,\alpha} v_{1,\beta} \right\rangle + B_{in} \right] - \left[\left\langle a_{1,\alpha} a_{1,\beta} \right\rangle + B_{out} \right]$$

 B_{in} - B_{out} :flow-related background γ_{os} - γ_{ss} :CME signal

 q^2 method is applied to select spherical event (zero v_2), so the flow-related background can be removed

$$\vec{q}^A = (q_x^A, q_y^A)$$
 where $q_x^A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_i^N \cos(2\phi_i^A)$
 $q_y^A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_i^N \sin(2\phi_i^A)$

event-shape engineering

A schematic diagram of how to reveal the ensemble average CME signal via event-shape engineering



• ensemble average CME signal can be restored from apparent signal by: $\Delta \gamma = \Delta \gamma (q^2 = 0)/(1 + 2v_2)$ arXiv:1608.03205[nucl-th]

Cuts information

- Each event has been divided into 3 sub-events: A, B_1 and B_2 .
- A: $|\eta| < 0.5$ contains particles of interest.
- $B_1: 0.5 < \eta < 1$, $B_2: -1 < \eta < -0.5$ serve as reconstructed subevent planes.

• Cuts:

	VertexZ(cm)	Dca(cm)	Pt(GeV/c)
Au+Au	(-40,40)	<2	(0.15,2)
Cu+Cu	(-30,30)	<2	(0.15,2)

EP distribution in 200GeV Cu+Cu

Shifting method is applied to flatten event plane distribution

before

after



Multiplicity vs q² in 39GeV Au+Au(50%-60% most central)



 Multiplicity is almost independent of q² in the range of interest for q² (0,4), so the handle does not bias multiplicity.

v₂ vs q² in 39GeV Au+Au (30%-40% most central)



• It demonstrates the almost linear relationship of v_2 and q^2 .

• The results are similar for other centralities.

γ vs q² in 39GeV Au+Au (30%-40% most central)



- Linear projection to remove the CME flow background.
- Ensemble average CME signal can be restored from intercepts by: $\Delta \gamma = \Delta \gamma (q^2 = 0) / (1 + 2v_2)$ 9

39GeV Au+Au and 200GeV Cu+Cu: without background removal



• Cu+Cu sig+bg is much larger than Au+Au.

39GeV Au+Au and 200GeV Cu+Cu: with background removal



- Similar real CME signals for 0-50% Au+Au at 39GeV and Cu+Cu at 200GeV.
- In 50-60% Cu+Cu, the true signal may disappear.

κ comparison: Au+Au collisions



- Baseline κ_B for Au+Au collisions is around 1.5, determined from 3 approaches.
- Peripheral collisions have larger κ_K (signal killer), thus more significant CME signal.

к comparison: Cu+Cu collisions



• κ_B for Cu+Cu collisions is around 2.

Summary

- q² is a good handle on event-shape.
- Projection of q²=0 was carried out for Au+Au 39GeV and Cu+Cu 200GeV: finite CME signal is observed.
- After subtracting background, the CME signal of Cu+Cu 200GeV collisions is consistent with Au+Au 39GeV collisions.
- κ_B is around 1.5 for Au+Au collisons, 2 for Cu+Cu collisions, closed to the value estimated by other approaches.